# A CRITICAL EXAMINATION OF WORK ENGAGEMENT OUTCOMES: A STUDY AMONG IT PROFESSIONALS IN INDIA



#### A THESIS

# SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE FELLOW PROGRAMME IN MANAGEMENT INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, INDORE

BY

ANUPAMA SHARMA [2010FPM02]

MARCH 2015

THESIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
PROF. RANJEET NAMBUDIRI
[CHAIRPERSON]

PROF. PATTURAJA SELVARAJ.

PROF. ASHISH SADH

[MEMBER]

[MEMBER]

#### **ABSTRACT**

Work engagement has received a great deal of attention in the last decade in the popular business press and among consulting firms and the practitioner community. They claim work engagement is a new human resource practice that business organizations can use in order to achieve the various positive individual level and organizational level outcomes. However, in the academic community, the concept warrants further exploration. Given that practical interest in work engagement has outstripped the currently available research evidences, questions such as 'how engaged employees create resourceful work environment' and 'if engaged employees also experience negative repercussions', still require answers.

Through our study we try to critically examine the work engagement outcomes. Literature suggests that engaged employees engage in active efforts and tend to maintain and mobilize their personal and work resources. We propose that such efforts will result into proactive and inventive behavior such as job crafting and innovativeness. We also postulate the existence of some dysfunctional outcomes of being highly engaged. We propose that engagement may result into conflicts as well, such as work-family conflict and job-leisure conflict because of the tendency of engaged employees to experience work overload and time constraint.

This study has empirically tested relationships among work engagement and discussed the consequences of work engagement. In particular, this study has provided theory-based empirical evidence regarding whether engaged employees get involved in outcome behavior which have not been examined earlier, also, if there is a

downside to work engagement. We base our discussion and arguments in Job-

demands and Resource (JDR) theoretical model, conservation of resources (COR)

theory. Field data from 377 working IT professionals were collected using the survey

method. Data analysis with the help of partial least squares (PLS) technique supported

most of hypotheses from the model. A possible explanation is given to the hypothesis,

not being supported.

Given that work engagement is an important current issue, the findings should

provide practical implications for IT industry. This thesis discussion will contribute in

improving the understanding of work engagement. The findings will also help to draw

a more complete picture of how work engagement is associated with its outcomes. A

discussion on research limitations, and future research will help in identifying the new

directions and extend the research. This study is an attempt towards a better

understanding of work engagement, and also to the positive psychology literature.

Keywords: Work engagement, Job crafting, Work-family conflict, Job leisure

conflict

3

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

A	BSTR	ACT	2		
A	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS				
Т	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
L	LIST OF TABLES				
L	LIST OF FIGURES1				
L	LIST OF APPENDIX				
1 INTRODUCTION					
	1.1	Overview	11		
	1.2	Background of the study			
	1.3	Research objective			
	1.4	Proposed contribution			
		•			
	1.5	Organization of the Remainder of the Study			
2	LIT	TERATURE REVIEW	20		
	2.1	Work engagement	20		
	2.1.	1 Antecedents of work engagement	28		
	2.1.	2 Consequences of work engagement	33		
	2.2	Job crafting	36		
	2.2.	1 Two different conceptualization	40		
	2.2.	2 Proactive personality and job crafting	45		
	2.3	Innovativeness	46		
	2.4	Work family conflict	48		
	2.5	Job leisure conflict	51		
	2.6	Research gap	54		
3	DE	VELOPMENT OF THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	57		
	3.1	Work engagement and job crafting			
	3.2	Perceived supervisory support			

3.3 job-c	Perceived supervisory support as a moderator between work engagementating	
3.4	Work engagement and innovativeness	65
3.5	Openness to experience	66
3.6 innov	Openness-to-experience as moderator between work engagement and vativeness	67
3.7	Work engagement and work-family conflict	68
3.8	Gender as moderator between work engagement and work-family con-	flict.75
3.9	Work engagement and job-leisure conflict	77
3.10	Conceptual model and hypothesis summary	79
4 RE	ESEARCH METHOD	81
4.1	Unit of analysis	81
4.2	Sample design	81
4.2	2.1 Sampling frame	81
4.2	2.2 Sampling technique	82
4.2	2.3 Sample Size	83
4.3	Measures	84
4.4	Pre-test and pilot test	93
4.4	1.1 Reliability	94
4.4	1.2 Construct validity	95
4.5	Common method bias	96
4.6	Normality, heteroscedasticity and multicollinearity	98
4.6	5.1 Normality test	98
4.6	5.2 Heteroskedasticity	98
4.6	6.3 Multicollinearity	99
4.7	Descriptive statistics	99
5 RE	ESULTS	100
5.1	Sample characteristics	100
5.2	Descriptive statistics and correlations	102

5.3 Analytical methodology	106					
5.4 Hypothesis testing: using component-based partial least squares (CB-	· ·					
5.4.1 Testing the measurement (outer) model	108					
5.4.2 Hypothesis testing with structural (inner) model	110					
6 CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATION	122					
6.1 Discussion on hypothesis testing results	122					
6.2 Contribution to theory	126					
6.3 Contribution to practice	128					
6.4 Limitations	130					
6.5 Future research	131					
6.6 Conclusion	133					
REFERENCES						
APPENDICES						
Appendix 1 Listing of mean, standard deviation, skewness and kurtosis for all items						

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Work engagement scale

Table 4.2: Job crafting scale

Table 4.3: Perceived supervisory support scale

Table 4.4: Innovativeness scale

Table 4.5: Openness to experience scale

Table 4.6: Work-family conflict scale

Table 4.7: Work leisure conflict scale

Table 4.8: Reliability of measurements construct

Table 4.9: Harman's single factor test result

Table 5.1: Sample demographics summary

Table 5.2: Descriptive statistics

Table 5.3: Correlation among constructs

Table 5.4: Composite reliability of constructs

Table 5.5: Construct inter-correlations, and average variance extracted (AVE)

Table 5.6: PLS analysis results – inner (structural) model: hypothesized integrative

model

Table 5.7: Summary of the hypothesis testing results

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Classification of employees based on Gallup report (2014)

Figure 3.1: Conceptual model

# LIST OF APPENDIX

Appendix 1: Listing of means, standard deviation, skewness and kurtosis for all the items.