

# **HEALTHCARE RESOURCE PLANNING: A SIMULATION APPROACH**



A THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE  
FELLOW PROGRAMME IN MANAGEMENT  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT  
INDORE

**BY**

**SUDIPENDRA NATH ROY**

**[2013FPM013]**

**MARCH 2018**

**THESIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

PROF. BHAVIN J. SHAH

[CHAIR]

PROF. HASMUKH GAJJAR

[MEMBER]

PROF. VINAYSINGH J. CHAWAN

[MEMBER]

# ABSTRACT

Healthcare system is complex due to its dynamic and critical operating environment with multiple layers of service providers. Simulation as a technique is often used to model and study such complex systems in the literature. The popularity of simulation in healthcare domain has resulted into a large number of articles intended to solve myriad of healthcare problems. This study has been divided into three parts. In the first part, the healthcare simulation literature is analyzed and categorized based on the healthcare service delivery levels. The following major research gaps are identified: (i) process design and resource planning for disaster preparedness in an emergency department of the multi-specialty hospitals to check operational vulnerability, (ii) there exists a research gap in terms of capturing dynamic human behavior in modeling emergency department in hospitals along with resource planning for effective healthcare service delivery. Multi-method simulation modeling including discrete event simulation and agent-based simulation may help in this (iii) it is also observed that the tertiary and quaternary healthcare service delivery needs the attention of the researchers for reducing service delay and improving patient throughput. This study primarily focuses on the third research gap.

Timely delivery of quality care is an essential competitive dimension of the healthcare industry. During the preliminary visits to various Indian multi-specialty hospitals, it is observed that patients availing cashless medical insurance often face a significant delay during the discharge process. The second part of the study seeks to address the issue of service lag between medical discharge and administrative discharge for the patients in an accredited hospital using the discrete event simulation modeling technique. The simulation results depicted that hospital can reduce up to 38% of the time spent in

discharge process by removing the inefficiency and increasing resources. Such reduction would help all the three stakeholders, i.e. patients, hospitals and insurance companies.

Chemotherapy, also referred as medical oncology, is a primary line of treatment for cancer. Due to multiple chemotherapy regimens (combination of medicines) for the different ailments, therapy duration varies from two hours to more than 12 days. It is observed that patients requiring a shorter duration therapy often face a longer waiting in the system resulting into an extended length of stay (LOS) in the hospital. In the third part of the study, a simulation model is developed for the chemotherapy process of a super specialty oncology hospital. Upon analyzing several scenarios, key interventions are proposed that resulted in reduction up to 93.5% in an average wait time of patients. It also improved LOS and patient throughput leading to efficient chemotherapy procedure. We also analyzed the impact of patient mix and growth in patient volume to test the response of the model post-interventions.

This study can be beneficial to the academicians and practitioners for using simulation modeling technique to improve the healthcare delivery system. Additionally, process improvement together with simulation modeling may realize more benefits to the healthcare delivery system. Simulation models developed in this study are face validated in consultation with the stakeholders.

***Keywords:*** Healthcare; Resource Planning; Simulation; Health Insurance; Third Party Administrators; Cancer Care; Chemotherapy Patient Flow; Health Service Delivery.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction.....	2
1.2 Motivation.....	4
1.3 Management context.....	5
1.4 Research objectives.....	7
1.5 Scope.....	8
1.6 Thesis organization.....	9
<b>CHAPTER 2.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>REVIEW OF HEALTHCARE SIMULATION LITERATURE.....</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	11
2.2 Methodology.....	11
2.3 Classification.....	13
2.3.1 OM concepts addressed.....	16
2.3.2 Healthcare service issues addressed.....	20
2.3.3 Simulation methods applied.....	25

2.3.4	Analysis of funding source availability.....	31
2.4	Research gap analysis.....	34
2.4.1	Conceptual gaps .....	36
2.4.2	Contextual gaps.....	37
2.4.3	Methodological gaps .....	38
2.5	Summary .....	39
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>41</b>
	<b>IMPROVING DISCHARGE PROCESS FOR A MULTI-SPECIALTY</b>	
	<b>HOSPITAL.....</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	42
3.2	Literature review .....	45
3.3	Problem description.....	48
3.4	Methodology .....	49
3.5	Data collection and input analysis.....	50
3.5.1	Context of the study .....	50
3.5.2	Collection of data .....	50
3.5.3	Input analysis.....	51
3.6	Simulation model .....	55
3.7	Analysis and discussion .....	61
3.8	Summary .....	70
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>71</b>
	<b>RESOURCE PLANNING FOR A CHEMOTHERAPY CLINIC .....</b>	<b>71</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	72

4.2	Literature review .....	75
4.3	Problem description.....	77
4.4	Methodology .....	78
4.5	Data collection and input analysis.....	82
4.5.1	Context of the study .....	82
4.5.2	Collection of data .....	83
4.5.3	Input Analysis .....	83
4.6	Simulation model .....	92
4.7	Analysis and discussion .....	105
4.7.1	Tested interventions .....	105
4.7.2	Scenario Analysis.....	108
4.7.3	Managing increasing patient volume .....	113
4.7.4	Changes in arrival patient mix .....	118
4.8	Summary .....	126
<b>CHAPTER 5.....</b>		<b>128</b>
<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>		<b>128</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	129
5.2	Managerial implications.....	130
5.3	Limitations and future directions .....	132
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>		<b>135</b>

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Funding source distribution for the Healthcare simulation studies .....	33
Figure 3.1 TPA-mediated healthcare service delivery model.....	46
Figure 3.2 Process map from medical discharge to administrative discharge in tertiary healthcare provider center.....	56
Figure 3.3 Simulation run results for the base model (model 1), after the process improvement (model 2) and resource increase in model 2 (model 3) .....	62
Figure 3.4 Results of two sample t-test.....	65
Figure 3.5 Comparison of patient queue lengths .....	68
Figure 3.6 Comparison of different discharge parameters (Daily throughput and WIP ) .....	69
Figure 4.1 Incidence of cancer in India (Present and Projected) .....	73
Figure 4.2 Chemotherapy process flow diagram observed in Super-specialty oncology hospitals .....	93
Figure 4.3 Simulation model for delivering chemotherapy in quaternary level .....	96
Figure 4.4 <i>Sub-model 1</i> - Detailed chemotherapy process for short treatment patients (2-hour, 3-hour, 4-hour therapy duration).....	98
Figure 4.5 <i>Sub-model 2</i> - Detailed chemotherapy process for daycare treatment patients (5, 6, 7, 8-hour, and more than 8-hour therapy duration).....	99
Figure 4.6 <i>Sub-model 3</i> - Detailed chemotherapy process for daily treatment patients (1 day to more than 12 days' therapy duration .....	100
Figure 4.7 Comparison of '3-hour' (3h) patient LOS across base and other scenarios .....	109

Figure 4.8 Comparison of ‘8-hour’ (8h) patient LOS across base and other scenarios .....	110
Figure 4.9 Comparison of ‘7-day’ (7d) patient LOS across base and other scenarios .....	111
Figure 4.10 Effect of patient volume increase in ‘3-hour’ patient LOS .....	114
Figure 4.11 Effect of patient volume increase in ‘8-hour’ and ‘7-day’ patient LOS.	115
Figure 4.12 Effect of arrival variability on ‘3-hour’ patient LOS .....	119
Figure 4.13 Effect of arrival variability on ‘8-hour’ and ‘7-day’ patient LOS.....	120
Figure 4.14 Effect of different ‘hourly: day-wise’ patient ratio on hourly patient’s LOS .....	122
Figure 4.15 Effect of different ‘hourly: day-wise’ patient ratio on day wise patient’s LOS .....	123
Figure 4.16 Effect of patient mix change in ‘hourly’ patients (increased volume cases) .....	124
Figure 4.17 Effect of patient mix change in ‘day wise’ patients (increased volume cases).....	125



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Year-wise summary of articles .....	13
Table 2.2 Journal-wise distribution of articles.....	14
Table 2.3 Article distribution across different levels of the health service .....	15
Table 2.4 Different operations management concepts addressed in the healthcare simulation literature (2007 - 2016) .....	17
Table 2.5 Different healthcare problems addressed in the healthcare simulation literature in the last decade .....	22
Table 2.6 Simulation methodologies and tools used in the Healthcare Simulation literature (2007-2016) .....	26
Table 2.7 Different primary methodologies used with simulation methods.....	28
Table 2.8 Potential research gaps existing within the healthcare simulation domain .	35
Table 3.1 Increasing trend of claims handled by TPA in FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 (Numbers in actuals and amount is in INR Lakh) .....	43
Table 3.2 Patient medical discharge process (arrivals in system) .....	53
Table 3.3 Service Delivery Processes.....	53
Table 3.4 Resource required for service delivery processes.....	57
Table 4.1 Chemotherapy regimens and medical diagnosis example for patients with different chemotherapy duration.....	79
Table 4.2 Arrival schedule of the chemotherapy patient enter and occupy beds for hours or days.....	84
Table 4.3 Process details and resources required for the process .....	85
Table 4.4 Observed patient percentages across different types .....	86
Table 4.5 Patient percentages across different specialists' lines .....	87

Table 4.6 Process distributions for the different processes in chemotherapy (Main model) .....	88
Table 4.7 Distributions for chemotherapy (P10) for different patient types (Sub-model) .....	89
Table 4.8 Distributions for supportive therapy (P11) for different patient types (Sub-model) .....	90
Table 4.9 Chemotherapy duration of different patient types and their observed LOS and validation of simulation run results for each type .....	104
Table 4.10 Original and suggested staggered shifts for health personnel.....	106
Table 4.11 Different tested scenarios with interventions.....	108
Table 4.12 Comparison of base and suggested ‘best’ scenario .....	112
Table 4.13 Effect of bed capacity increase on patient LOS.....	117
Table 4.14 Patient types tested for the variation.....	119

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Average processing time for different service delivery processes.....	145
Appendix 2: Average queue length for queues in front of different processes .....	146
Appendix 3: Detailed results of two sample t-tests .....	147
Appendix 4: Patient types with variability (data represented is % of total patient volume) .....	151
Appendix 5: Convergence analysis results - LOS (hours) across different patient types .....	152
Appendix 6: Convergence analysis results - Patient wait time (hours) for different patient types .....	153
Appendix 7: Convergence analysis results - '3 hour' patient LOS .....	154
Appendix 8: Convergence analysis results - '8 hour' patient LOS .....	155
Appendix 9: Convergence analysis results - '7 day' patient LOS .....	156